

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/518,664	03/03/2000	Cameron Mashayekhi	112024-0054	6178	
21186	7590 12/27/2004		EXAMINER		
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			HENEGHAN, I	HENEGHAN, MATTHEW E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	- <b></b> ,		2134		
			DATE MAILED: 12/27/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
. Office Action Cummons	09/518,664	MASHAYEKHI, CAMERON
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew Heneghan	2134
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ag</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>	action is non-final.	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 June 2000</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2134

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 23 August 2004 has been entered.
- 2. In response to the most recent office action, claims 1, 9, and 15 have been amended. Claims 1-20 have been examined.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 2

Art Unit: 2134

Claim 4 recites the limitations "a second network resource identifier" in line 3 and "a second network policy" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim, as no "network resource identifier" or "network policy" had been previously recited. It is being presumed that claim 4 is dependent upon claim 3.

Claims 5 and 6 depend from rejected claim 4, and include all the limitations of that claim, thereby rendering those dependent claims indefinite.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-11, 13, 15, 17, 18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,401,206 to Khan et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,818,936 to Mashayekhi.

Regarding claims 1, 3, 4, 7-11, 13, 15, 17, 18, and 20, the system disclosed by Khan, a local interface receives private user information and stored user secrets, which are used to generate authentication secrets (see column 6, lines 37-58). A session key is created (see column 7, lines 1-4). Khan employs prior art encryption procedures, including a symmetric key algorithm (column 5, lines 36-40), which generates the

Art Unit: 2134

common key. The common key is then encrypted using a public key (the "session key", which, being public, is inherently transmitted) for transmission (see column 5, lines 43-48). Employing this system, an authentication database takes the entered secrets and encrypts them using the common key (see column 8, lines 30-36). The encrypted secret, the encrypted common key, and the session key are therefore transmitted to the receiver. Since a symmetric key is used for the common key, it is a shared and same key for both ends of the transmission.

Khan further discloses that this technique can be used for any application where a user's identity needs to be verified, such as logging on to computers (see column 12, line 66 to column 13, line 50). Khan does not explicitly mention the accessing of network resources, however.

Mashayekhi discloses a system for accessing network resources, wherein a user at a local workstation is authenticated using a network database contain several program-specific user secrets, each having an identifier, a user-specific secret (see column 5, line 57 to column 6, line 30), and a network policy associated with the user in the form of an ACL (see column 6, lines 44-59). Mashayekhi further suggests that this strategy provides a means for easily and efficiently authenticating a user to various applications on a network (see column 3, lines 14-21).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the method of Khan in a network resource accessing system, as disclosed by Mashayekhi, as this strategy provides a

Art Unit: 2134

means for easily and efficiently authenticating a user to various applications on a network.

As per claims 2, 5, and 6, the algorithm is the symmetric key algorithm, and the key has been derived from secret, such as a PIN (see column 8, lines 30-36).

5. Claims 12, 14, 16, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,401,206 to Khan et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,818,936 to Mashayekhi as applied to claims 9 and 15 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent 5,869,565 to Spies et al.

Khan and Mashayekhi do not disclose the negotiating of an encryption algorithm.

Spies discloses an algorithm selection algorithm wherein the client sends a certificate indicative of its supported algorithms (thus containing a list of at least one algorithm) which the server compares with its table of available algorithms, from which it chooses the strongest. Spies further states that this may be necessary for regulatory compliance (see column 15, lines 10-44).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Khan and Mashayekhi to include the algorithm negotiation disclosed by Spies, as this may be necessary for regulatory compliance.

Response to Arguments

Page 5

Page 6

Art Unit: 2134

Application/Control Number: 09/518,664

6. Applicant's arguments, see amendment filed 23 August 2004, with respect to the rejections of claims 1-20 under 35 U.S.C. 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive in view of Applicant's amendments. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,401,206 to Khan et al.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew E. Heneghan, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3834. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, and Friday from 8:30 AM - 4:30 PM Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse, can be reached at (571) 272-3838.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Art Unit: 2134

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MEH

December 18, 2004

S.....